

REMARKS

The Office Action dated January 24, 2006 stated that the prior amendment of November 7, 2005 was not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because claim 42 had not been amended to overcome the section 112 rejection. In fact, the claim was amended exactly as suggested by the Examiner to overcome this rejection.

Applicant's representative reviewed the prior amendment and called Examiner Ming Chow to discuss the amendment to claim 42. After further review, Examiner Chow indicated that indeed the claim had been amended. Examiner Chow then requested that the undersigned submit this paper in response to the January 24, 2006 Office Action noting that the section 112 rejection had in fact been addressed in the November 7, 2005 amendment.

Applicants maintain that in view of this amendment this application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

JONES DAY



David B. Cochran
(Reg. No. 39,142)

Jones Day
North Point, 901 Lakeside Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114
(216) 586-7506